Profile

Subunit: Fakultaet_04_BWL
Name of the instructor: Prof. Dr. Manfred Schwaiger
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Convincing Stakeholders

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. The lecture...



1.1)	...is comprehensively structured.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,6	md=2,0	dev.=0,7
1.2)	...is well organized.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=0,9
1.3)	...uses examples to illustrate models and theories.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,6
1.4)	...links theory and practice.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=32	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
1.5)	...critically examines definitions, assumptions and arguments.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,7
1.6)	...is presented in an inspiring manner.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,7	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
1.7)	...needlessly duplicates the content of other courses.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=3,5	md=4,0	dev.=1,0
1.8)	...imparts a lot of knowledge.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
1.9)	...is supported by assistance beyond classroom.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=32	av.=2,6	md=3,0	dev.=0,8
1.10)	...needs more time and effort than other courses.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=2,9	md=3,0	dev.=0,7
1.11)	...includes well lead discussions.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=2,2	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
1.12)	...allows to add own contributions.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,6
1.13)	...is affected by disturbance or chatting of participants.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=31	av.=4,4	md=5,0	dev.=0,8
1.14)	...stimulates my interest in my field of study.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
1.15)	...has been profitable for me.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
1.16)	...motivates private studies on the subject.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=2,5	md=3,0	dev.=0,8
1.17)	...clearly shows the relevance/usefulness of topics discussed.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,8

2. The lecturer...



2.1)	...regularly summarizes the content.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
2.2)	...seems to be well prepared.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
2.3)	...speaks clearly and inspiringly.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
2.4)	...cares about the learning success of participants.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,7
2.5)	...motivates participants.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=32	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
2.6)	...is friendly to and approachable for students.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=33	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
2.7)	...gives helpful feedback to contributions.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=32	av.=1,6	md=2,0	dev.=0,6

2.8)	...stimulates questions and active participation in class.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=32	av.=1,7	md=2,0	dev.=0,6

3. Questions on the level of the lecture

3.1)	I prepare classes or perform follow-up course work.	little	much	n=33	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,9
3.2)	My previous knowledge is…	too low	too high	n=28	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,7
3.4)	The quantity of the teaching contents is…	too little	too much	n=30	av.=3,6	md=3,5	dev.=0,6
3.5)	The complexity of the teaching contents is…	too easy	too difficult	n=30	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,3
3.6)	The requirements of the class are…	too low	too high	n=30	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,3
3.7)	The pace of the class is...	too slow	too fast	n=29	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,3
3.8)	Overall I would grade the lecture	excellent	inadequate	n=28	av.=1,7	md=2,0	dev.=0,5